

COMP 442 / 6421 Compiler Design

Tutorial 2 Lexical Analyser

> Instructor: TAs:

Dr. Joey Paquet Haotao Lai

paquet@cse.concordia.ca h lai@encs.concordia.ca



Lab Instructor

Section: lab hours NNK M------ 20:30-22:20 H819

Name: Haotao Lai (Eric)

Office: EV 8.241

Email: h_lai@encs.concordia

Website: http://laihaotao.me/ta



Lexical Analyser

Lexical analysis is the process of converting a sequence of characters into a sequence of tokens .

Input: source code file

Output: tokens

What is token?



Token

- A syntactic category

- In English:
 - Noun, verb, adjective
- In a programming language:
 - Identifiers, Integer, Floating point number, Keywords, ...



Token

In our assignment:

TOKEN is a data structure with the following components:

- Туре
- Lexeme
- Position



Lexical Analyser

How to design a lexical analyser? (That's what the lexical specification does)

- 1. Define a finite set of tokens
- 2. Describe which strings belong to each token

How to implement a lexical analyser? (That's your assignment)

- 1. Recognize substrings corresponding to tokens
- 2. Return the value or lexeme of the token



Implementation





Regx to NFA

There are three basic operations:





Regx to NFA

Example1

You are given the regular expression: $(a | b)^*abb$, please draw the NFA.



Regx to NFA

Example2

You are given the regular expression: <u>letter alphanum*</u>, please draw the NFA.



NFA to DFA





€* b C 3 2 L > 12 2,1 3 3 3 2 4,3 S 4 CE 6 E* ae* 4,3 2, >B 4,3 2, 2 2, R λ 2.



Regx to DFA

Regx: letter (letter | digit)*



NFA vs. DFA

Nondeterministic Finite Automata

- Can have multiple transitions for one input in a given state
- Can have ε-move

Deterministic Finite Automata

- One transition per input per state
- No ε-move



NFAs and DFAs recognize the same set of languages, but DFAs are faster to execute.



Lexical Analyser

Once you have the transition table, you should be able to implement the state machine which is the most important part in lexical analysis.

- nextToken()
- nextChar()
- backupChar()
- isFinalState(state)
- table(currentState, comingChar)
- createToken(state)





An useful tool --- AtoCC

The learning environment can be of use in teaching abstract automata, formal languages, and some of its applications in compiler construction. From a teacher's perspective AtoCC aims to address a broad range of different learning activities forcing the students to actively interact with the subjects being taught.

<u>Note:</u> We will need to use it for assignment 2 for grammar verification (will explain into detail when you receive assignment 2)

http://www.atocc.de/cgi-bin/atocc/site.cgi?lang=en&site=main



AtoCC --- RegExp Edit

It is a powerful tool that we can use to generate DFA from regular expression and validate your work. In the following slides you will find screenshots on how to use this tool in order to create a DFA from a regular expression that should conform to the lexical specification of the language.





RegExpEdit	
File Help	
New Open Save Export Automaton Export Grammar	
RegExp Editor Alphabet RegExp Simulation	
RegExp Editor	
	RegExp
Enter RegExp here	NEA Graph Minimized NEA Graph
letter(letter+digital)*	
Use the formal notation for regular expressions. Like: (a+b)*ab(a+b)* for L = {w w contains ab} over {a,b}.	
Minimized RegExp	
lottor/digital.lottor\t	
	Start
Compare RegExp with another	
Compare 2	digital,letter
- Transform to NEA	
Generate NFA graph for your RegExp at the right. Show NFA	
Hint: For ε you must write EPSILON in your RegExp.	
	$+w) = uv + uw (uv)^* u = u(vu)^* (u+v)^* = (u^* + v^*)^*$
Genesis-X7 Software 2008	

19

🖀 RegExpEdit [C:\Users\h_lai\Desktop\t1.xml]				
File Help				
New Open Save Export Automaton Export Grammar				
RegExp Editor Alphabet RegExp Simulation				
Editor				
	RegExp			
Enter RegExp here	NEA Graph Minimized NEA Graph			
(a+b)*abb				
, Use the formal notation for regular expressions. Like: (a+b)*ab(a+b)* for L = {w w contains ab} over {a,b}.	, ba.			
Minimized RegExp				
(a+b)*abb				
	Start \rightarrow (q_0) a \rightarrow (q_1) (q_3)			
Compare RegExp with another				
Compare				
	b a b			
Transform to NEA				
Generate NEA graph for your RegExp at the right. Show NEA 🥥				
	(92)			
Hint: For \varepsilon your RegExp.				
$\epsilon u = u\epsilon = u$ $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$ $u+v = v+u$ $u+u = u$ $(u^*)^* = u^*$ $u(v)$	$(u^+w) = uv^+uw$ $(uv)^*u = u(vu)^*$ $(u^+v)^* = (u^* + v^*)^*$			
Genesis-X7 Software 2008				

20









AtoCC Format

In assignment 2, you will have to use AtoCC to verify your grammar. For example, you will enter your grammar in the kfgEdit tool like this (simple grammar shown):

KfG Edit	_ 0
File Help	
Image: Save Validate Grammar Image: Save Validate Grammar Image: Save	
kfG Edit Language Grammar Derivation LL(1) conditions Definition	
kfG Edit	
Define Grammar	
Edit: 崎 🍋 🛅 Insert: ស 🛃 Format: 💶 📰 Transform: 🕬 🎇 🚳 🖧 👘	
Grammar	Symbol L
1 E -> '('E')' E1 'id' E1	5 E
$2 E1 \rightarrow EPSILON '+' E$	E1
	Di T



Continued --- AtoCC Format

Then, one very convenient thing that this tool allows is to verify a string against the grammar, by inputting a string in the "input sentence" field in the Derivation tab window (see the image in the next page)

This allows the tool to verify if this string is parsable or not, and if it doesn't generate a tree and a derivation for it. What I want the lexical analyzer to output is a string that you can copy in the "input sentence" box. This way, you can verify if your grammar is correct by using your lexical analyzer to output a string representing the token stream, and the kfgEdit tool to verify that you grammar can parse it.

Image: State of the s			-	
File Help			71	10
New Open Save Validate Grammar is regular?	er			
kfG Edit Language Grammar Derivation LL(1) conditions Definition				
Derive Tree				
Input Sentence: id+id+(id)) 😖 😡 😡 🕅 🙀 🔓			
Derivation Tree Derivation				
Zoom: 100% - id+id+(id)	sentencial form	used rule		
R	E	E -> id E1		
	id E1	E1 -> + E		
	id + E	E -> id E1		
	id + id E1	E1 -> + E		
	id + id + E	E -> (E) E1		
U E	id + id + (E) E1	E -> id E1		
	id + id + (id E1) E1	E1 -> EPSILON		
id E1	id + id + (id) E1	E1 -> EPSILON		
	id + id + (id)			
(+) E				
δ				



Implementation of lexical analyzer

Two ways to implement the lexical analyzer:

- 1. Table driven (but constructing a transition table by hand is not an easy job)
- 2. Handwritten (it require you to be very careful considering all the possible situations)

Note: It is your choice to pick one of the methods to implement and your choice <u>will not</u> affect the prospective assignments. The output of the Scanner is the stream of tokens which can be accessed when the nextToken() method being called.



Reference

https://users.encs.concordia.ca/~paquet/wiki/images/1/19/COMP442-6421.2.lexical.ppt http://web.stanford.edu/class/cs143/lectures/lecture03.pdf http://web.stanford.edu/class/cs143/lectures/lecture04.pdf https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dIH2pIndNrU https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=taClnxU-nao https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RYNN-tb9WxI https://github.com/laihaotao/compiler_design.git